

CALL TO ORDER

Vice Mayor DeLaney called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. with all members present except Councilmember Kennedy who arrived directly after roll call and Mayor Schroder who arrived at 5:55 p.m.

CLOSED SESSION

CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS - Pursuant to California Government Code Section 54956.8

- A) Property: 7 North Court Street, Marina.  
Agency Negotiator: June Catalano, City Manager; Jeff Walter, City Attorney; Richard Pearson, Community Development Director; Joann Tool, Deputy Director of Parks & Community Services.  
Negotiating Parties: a) Pacific Marina Development Inc., and Almar Management Inc.  
Negotiating Parties: b) Rick Parker  
Under Negotiation: Price and Terms of Lease.
- B) Property: 630 Court Street  
Agency Negotiator: June Catalano, City Manager; Jeff Walter, City Attorney; Susan McCue, Economic Development Director.  
Negotiating Parties: BH Development; Preferred Commerce Inc; Court Street Investors, Inc; Goldenberg & Associates; Court Street Center  
Under Negotiation: Terms and other conditions of sale or disposition.

RECONVENE – PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – ROLL CALL

The meeting reconvened at 7:20 p.m. Mayor Schroder reported that a closed session was held and that direction was given to the City's negotiators and staff. Nothing else was reported.

PRESENTATION(S)/PROCLAMATION(S)

*Citizens of Year Award Recognition.*

Mayor Schroder recognized the Citizens of the Year and their accomplishments: Chad Reichhold of Bull Dog Barbecue, Charles Laird Award; Dave Silveira, Lifetime Achievement; Gary Hernandez of Martinez Auto Body, Small Business Award; Carolyn Duncan, Woman of the Year; Marty Ochoa, Man of the Year; Bailey Langner, Young Woman of the Year; and Phil Schwartz, Young Man of the Year.

*Presentation on County Homeless Program.*

Presentation by Cynthia Belon, Director of Contra Costa County Homeless Program. Ms. Belon reviewed statistics and gave an overall picture of the homeless situation in Contra Costa County and in Martinez specifically. Ms. Belon also discussed a county-wide plan for the communities of Contra Costa County: “Ending Homelessness in Ten Years” and reviewed progress made toward the goal, as well as available government aid and programs. She commented on cost-savings that can be realized by providing affordable housing as opposed to shelters or jails. She asked for the support and participation of the Council with the Ten-Year Planning Committee.

Mayor Schroder asked, and Ms. Belon confirmed that many of the housing programs at the County level were being threatened by budget cuts. She briefly discussed funding sources in response to questions from Councilmember Wainwright. She indicated that currently the County contributes about \$1 million, and budget cuts could result in a loss of half that amount.

Councilmember Ross asked how Martinez could partner with the County to find a solution. Ms. Belon said all the cities should be communicating with the County Board of Supervisors to develop a way to find permanent solutions to the homeless issue.

Vice Mayor DeLaney asked what effect the budget cuts might have on Shelter Inc. in Martinez, and how many families are currently served through that organization. Ms. Belon said the shelter had 25 beds and served 60 families annually. She discussed plans for the Greater Richmond Interfaith Program to open a shelter later this year. She also indicated that Shelter Inc. plans to keep the Martinez program going, but is looking for additional funding anyway.

Vice Mayor DeLaney asked if a countywide inventory had been done of potential facilities that could be used. Ms. Belon said no, because funding is needed for operating expenses.

Councilmember Kennedy expressed great concern about the possible shelter closings. She commented on discussions among the Housing Subcommittee of permanently affordable housing for those previously homeless. She also congratulated Ms. Belon for the recognition that the Contra Costa Homeless Programs have received from Washington, D.C. Ms. Belon noted that the County’s 10-year plan has been a model for many other jurisdictions.

Ms. Belon also commented on affordable housing programs and blended projects, especially noting the needs at the lowest income levels.

Mayor Schroder mentioned that there are efforts at the ABAG level to develop a regional action plan. Ms. Belon reiterated that working together is the only way that homelessness can be addressed.

Mayor Schroder opened public comment on the item.

Ann Scott reviewed her background working with the homeless in the County, including providing housing herself for two homeless families. She commented on the impact of homelessness on children especially. She discussed possible incentives for those who rent

housing to low-income families at reduced rates. She also expressed hope that the Mayors' Conference could put this issue on their agenda.

*Presentation by Kenmark International on downtown communities.*

Presentation by Knut Ojermark: "Martinez—Dead End or Destination?" He provided downtown comparisons with other cities nearby and in other countries, focusing on pedestrian accessibility. He reviewed ideas for waterfront development, restaurants, movie theatre, free internet access, and other projects that will benefit the community at little or no cost to the City. He suggested some beginning steps and indicated that his presentation is available on the web at Kenmark.Web.Com.

Mayor Schroder said he was especially interested in the possibility of Wifi service for the City.

Councilmember Wainwright expressed appreciation for the concept of Martinez as a destination, as well as the focus on pedestrian amenities.

Vice Mayor DeLaney asked if Mr. Ojermark was aware of the Downtown Specific Plan process, noting it was not too late to give some input. Mr. Ojermark indicated he was willing to help in any way he could.

Julian Frazer expressed appreciation for Mr. Ojermark's ideas, especially the Wifi possibility. He encouraged more focus on the rural setting of Martinez, rather than the urban aspects. He mentioned the possibility of a birdwatchers cafe or horse-riding opportunities. He also suggested more discernment in deciding the types of businesses to bring into the downtown.

Councilmember Ross thanked Mr. Ojermark for the time and effort he put into the presentation.

**PUBLIC COMMENT (COMPLETE SPEAKER CARD AND GIVE TO CLERK)**

*Reserved only for those requesting to speak on items not listed on the Agenda.*

James Smithwick expressed his concerns with the mismatched fence behind his home, installed by the Santos Ranch development, and the effect on his property value. He also discussed difficulties with getting access to the plans and the lack of cooperation from the developer. He particularly questioned why the developer was allowed to build a different fence than that shown on the plans, and why they were allowed to keep it after it was built. Mayor Schroder indicated that he has met with Mr. Smithwick and will be looking into the situation to try to resolve the issues.

Julian Frazer requested that the City address Mr. Smithwick's problems, in view of the long-term difficulties he has had. He also indicated that he had attended the Historic Preservation Workshop held on the previous Saturday, and he suggested that the Council invite the group to a future meeting to conduct a presentation and answer questions from the public. He shared what he learned at the workshop, as it applies to the historic elements in Martinez – he suggested there be several historic neighborhoods, rather than just one.

Paul Wilson spoke on the Redevelopment Feasibility Study, and his disappointment that the Marina was designated as blighted property. He also indicated confusion by some members of the public with the Redevelopment Feasibility Study and the Downtown Specific Plan, and he commented on the differences between the two. He was also concerned about the rezoning of his neighborhood.

## CONSENT CALENDAR

### MOTION WAIVING READING OF TEXT OF ALL RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES.

Motion waiving.

1. Motion approving City Council Minutes of February 15, 2006.
2. Motion approving Check Reconciliation Register dated 03/02/06 and 03/03/06.  
[C.Heater/2.10]
3. Motion approving Revenue Summary, and Expenditure Summary for January 2006.  
[C.Heater/2.1.0]
4. Resolution No. 019-06 authorizing Chief of Police to submit a grant proposal and execute a contract between the Martinez Police Department and the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control for receipt of funds from the grant assistance to Law Enforcement Agencies Project.  
[D.Cutaia/4.8.9]
5. Resolution No. 020-06 supporting a resolution to preserve and protect transportation funds previously dedicated to transportation by Proposition 42. [M.Chandler]
6. Resolution No. 021-06 endorsing and supporting the 2006 California State Library Bond.  
[R.Hernandez]
7. Resolution No. 022-06 authorizing the City Manager to sign a contract with Maze & Associates for Audit Services for fiscal year ending June 30, 2006. [C.Heater/17.02.02]

Mayor Schroder pulled Items 1, 4 and 6 for discussion, noting that he would abstain from the approval of the minutes since he was not at that meeting.

Regarding Item 1, Councilmember Wainwright questioned statements attributed to the City Attorney on page 11 of the minutes. Deputy City Attorney Veronica Nebb indicated that the statements were accurate and clarified them further.

Regarding Item 6, Vice Mayor DeLaney indicated she was supportive of the bond. She asked whether the Martinez library needs assessment was far enough along to enable the City to qualify for some of the funding. An unidentified speaker said she thought the assessment was right on target to be competitive in qualifying for the money.

Regarding Item 4, Mayor Schroder congratulated the Police Department for the excellent work in writing the grant proposal. Councilmember Wainwright was appreciative of references to the “small, waterfront town.”

Mayor Schroder opened public comment on the Consent Calendar.

Julian Frazer expressed concern that corrections made to the minutes by members of the public are not often incorporated into the minutes. He clarified comments made by him related to potential development of the Telfer property, specifically consideration for the cemetery and his opposition to gated communities. He also clarified his comments about the boat restaurant and his concerns about the time it will take to get the restaurant operable. He complimented the Mayor on his handling of “wrap-up” time for speakers. He expressed frustration with the way he was treated at the February 15<sup>th</sup> meeting and he urged consistency in the treatment of speakers and time limits. He thanked the Mayor again.

Vice Mayor DeLaney stated that Mr. Frazer was treated the same as everyone else that evening.

Regarding Item 4, David Piersall complimented Chief Cutaia for his efforts to deal with the alcohol problem in Martinez. He discussed the City of Richmond’s policy requiring a use permit for businesses that sell alcohol as a possible model for Martinez. Mayor Schroder indicated his agreement with Mr. Piersall that more controls on alcohol-selling businesses would help.

Councilmember Wainwright asked if an existing license could be controlled by an ordinance passed after the license was granted. Deputy City Attorney Veronica Nebb said it was possible, but unlikely, noting that operational requirements could be enacted. She discussed her experience with a past case in another jurisdiction where a business was shut down as a nuisance.

Chief Cutaia said the same was done in Martinez in the distant past, although he acknowledged the violations must be egregious in order to involve the ABC.

Mike Alford said there is far less of a problem with alcohol issues in the downtown than previously. He was unsure whether the regulations enacted by Richmond would work for Martinez.

On motion of L. DeLaney, seconded by J. Kennedy, the Council voted unanimously to approve Consent Calendar Items 2-7.

On motion of L. DeLaney, seconded by J. Kennedy, the Council voted to approve Item 1 by the following vote: R.Schroder, Abstaining; Rest, Ayes. [M.Cabral]

PUBLIC HEARING(S)

ADJOURNMENT

The Council adjourned their Regular Meeting of March 15, 2006 at 8:58 p.m. to a Special Meeting.

The Council returned to the Chambers at 9:09 p.m. The regular meeting was reconvened at 9:11 p.m. Mayor Schroder explained that he had adjourned the Special Meeting in order to discuss Item 8, Dangerous Animal Ordinance.

#### ORDINANCE(S)

8. Introduce and consider an ordinance amending the Martinez Municipal Code Title 6, Chapter 6.04, Animal Control adding with amendments the County of Contra Costa's Dangerous Animal Ordinance.

Chief Dave Cutaia presented the staff report, noting that the County's ordinance had been modified to exclude service dogs from restrictions on ownership of certain breeds. He explained that the County would be the enforcing body for Martinez' ordinance, since some years ago the City had passed an ordinance invoking all County regulations on animal control.

Vice Mayor DeLaney asked if the Martinez ordinance would be more restrictive than the County's, since the Council had previously discussed adding more controls. Chief Cutaia said at this point, the Council would be adopting the County's ordinance. He indicated, however, that Deputy City Attorney Veronica Nebb had researched the policies of other cities, and he deferred to her for further information.

Ms. Nebb summarized recent changes in state law related to breed-specific regulations, noting that spay/neuter requirements are allowed, but not ownership restrictions. She recommended that the Council give direction as to what additional requirements they would like to see in the Martinez ordinance, and allow staff the opportunity to discuss the issues with the County before enacting them. She also noted that the County's ordinance does have insurance requirements for owners of potentially-dangerous or actually dangerous animals. She discussed the possibility of raising penalties for violation of the ordinance, by raising the level of the violation from an infraction to a misdemeanor, including cost of enforcement, etc. She also referred to sections of the City's current code related to fines, noting that all but one section deals with infractions. She indicated that increased fines could be considered if Council chooses.

City Manager June Catalano clarified that staff is asking the Council to proceed with the ordinance as it currently stands, so that enforcement can begin, since there have been more incidents recently. Chief Cutaia added that most of the regulations in the County's ordinance deal with attacks that happen accidentally, but in situations where the animal is used by its owner as a deadly weapon, the State Penal Code would apply.

Councilmember Ross asked about permit conditions related to requirements for liability insurance and the required 30-day notice to the County of potential cancellation. Ms. Nebb indicated that was a standard provision of City contracts. She could not say how enforceable the provision was, because she has never had a case where an insurance policy was cancelled without the appropriate notice being given. She noted, however, that proof of insurance would be required each time the permit was renewed.

Based on his experience in the insurance field, Mayor Schroder commented that insurance companies are shifting responsibility for notifications of cancellation to the agents, and he acknowledged that it might not always happen as it should. He also implied that there might be an effect on insurance rates if an agent knows that the insured has a potentially dangerous animal. Vice Mayor DeLaney clarified that the insurance requirement only applies to the owner of an actually dangerous animal. Mayor Schroder expressed support for the provision, whether or not it is enforceable.

Mayor Schroder opened public comment on the item.

Yael Li-Ron asked how restrictions on breeding of potentially dangerous animals can be applied, when the designation of specific breeds as dangerous is not allowed. Ms. Nebb indicated that if a dog has been declared dangerous or potentially dangerous because of its behavior, an ownership permit would be required, including proof that the dog had been altered. She also clarified that the ordinance could list the breeds that the City would prohibit breeding.

Paul Wilson asked if an animal that bites becomes a potentially dangerous animal. Ms. Nebb said under some circumstances, yes. Mr. Wilson asked whether weight or size would be a factor, noting that even tiny chihuahuas can be very intimidating. He also noted that if biting was the determining trigger then all dogs should be considered potentially dangerous. Vice Mayor DeLaney clarified that there are specifics in the ordinance that differentiate between a minor bite due to provocation, and an unprovoked, injurious attack.

Mike Alford questioned whether determination of public nuisance could be used to support the insurance requirements or the level of violation. Chief Cutaia responded that this ordinance deals with any dangerous animal, but there are other County ordinances that deal with public nuisance issues such as barking or biting. He noted that the public nuisance declaration is harder to enforce, however, and he also clarified that the provisions of this ordinance could apply to any dangerous animal, not just dogs.

Mayor Schroder closed public comment on the item.

Councilmember Ross asked Chief Cutaia what a citizen can do about a dog that barks all day long. Chief Cutaia noted that the Police Department refers daytime calls on the matter to Animal Control, but will respond to night calls themselves. A citizen can also request that Animal Control track calls regarding a particular animal to see whether a nuisance exists.

On motion of L. DeLaney, seconded by B. Wainwright, the Council voted unanimously to introduce the Ordinance. [Nebb/6.3.4]

ADJOURNMENT

The Council adjourned their Regular Meeting of March 15, 2006, at 9:40 p.m. to a Special Meeting.

## CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Schroder opened the Special Meeting of the Martinez City Council at 9:09 p.m. and adjourned at 9:11 p.m. to discuss Item 8, Dangerous Animal Ordinance, of the Regular Meeting.

## RECONVENE

The meeting was reconvened at 9:40 p.m. with all members present.

## CITY COUNCIL

1. Consideration and discussion regarding authorization for the City Attorney to review and comment on the draft ordinance "Martinez Political Fairness Act of 2005;" and set a date for introduction of ordinance. (Councilmember Wainwright)

Councilmember Wainwright introduced the item, discussing his proposal in May 2005 for an ordinance regulating campaign contributions, the subsequent referral of the ordinance to a Council subcommittee, his request that the City Attorney review the ordinance, the Attorney's time and cost estimate (\$3,000 for the initial review). The City Attorney suggested that the Council give feedback on the ordinance before further review is made by his office.

Councilmember Kennedy confirmed with Councilmember Wainwright that the information presented to the Council at this meeting was prepared by him, not the City Attorney.

Assistant City Attorney Veronica Nebb noted that the cost estimate from the City Attorney's office was only for reviewing this draft for conformance with State and federal law. Additional costs would be charged for back-and-forth review and comment.

Mayor Schroder opened public comment on the item.

David Piersall expressed concern that the ordinance would unfairly affect candidates with limited personal financial resources. He discussed recent Supreme Court hearings on campaign contributions, and the upcoming ruling on the issue. He suggested that Councilmember Wainwright's ordinance be shelved until after the Supreme Court makes its decision.

Julian Frazer said it was clear that this would place unconstitutional limits on free speech. He indicated that a better solution would be publicly-funded elections. He suggested as an alternative that the Council direct the City Clerk to publish the campaign spending reports required by the Fair Political Practices Commission in the newspaper at regular intervals.

Mike Alford stated that openness and honesty from the candidates would go further in gaining public support than any amount of campaign contributions from special interest groups. He was doubtful that it would be possible to control or limit the contributions.

Paul Wilson said he has looked at the campaign contribution reports, and he would be ashamed by the money that has been taken and the people who come before the Planning Commission with requests. He commented on money contributed before and after decisions have been made, as well as appointments that have been given. He questioned whether the Councilmembers and Mayor were intent on doing the will of the people or only to meet the needs of their contributors.

Seeing no further speakers, Mayor Schroder closed public comment on the item.

Councilmember Wainwright noted there are many jurisdictions that have limits on campaign contributions, such as Concord, Walnut Creek, and the federal government. He expressed confidence that such ordinances are constitutional, and that campaign contributions can be controlled. He referred to past campaigns in the City where those with larger contributors have prevailed while those with fewer resources have not. He commented on the effects on Martinez politics and policy, as well as the false perception that the Council has been swayed in its decisions because of undue influence from large contributors. He also noted that incumbents have an easier time raising money, and this ordinance would give new candidates a better chance of being elected.

Vice Mayor DeLaney said that incumbents generally do not have to raise as much money, because of the name recognition advantage. She discussed her campaign experience, noting that without the contributions she received, she would not have been able to participate. She indicated that this ordinance would be giving greater advantage to incumbents. She expressed support for the reporting requirements, however, and made note that her vote has never been affected by her contributors. She was offended by the suggestion that it might have been. She agreed with Mr. Piersall's suggestion that the ordinance be tabled until after the Supreme Court decision.

Councilmember Kennedy said she thought the ordinance was unconstitutional and gives a serious advantage to those with greater personal financial resources. She expressed concern as well that people with less money, younger people and those with disabilities would be unduly limited by the provisions of the ordinance. She could see no advantage to enacting the ordinance. She also indicated that no amount of money would affect her vote. She expressed no problem with additional reporting requirements.

Councilmember Ross said he could not support the ordinance. He stated that his vote has never been influenced by his contributors.

Mayor Schroder expressed concern that limiting campaign contributions would cause the contributors to hide their connections, resulting in less openness and transparency for the process. He agreed it could also limit opportunities for poorer candidates and those with disabilities. He was amenable to increase reporting requirements, although he was concerned that it will make the process even more complicated.

Councilmember Wainwright pointed out a new provision of the ordinance that would prevent those with applications before the City from contributing to a candidate before/after their application.

Mayor Schroder said he thought that according to State law, campaign contributions do not represent a conflict of interest. Ms. Nebb questioned whether it would really be possible to limit a person's right to make applications to the City. She also expressed concern about the pre-application limitation. She noted that State law currently regulates who and how a City does business, as far as contracts and job bids, etc., and she questioned whether that might be affected as well by such a provision.

Vice Mayor DeLaney discussed potential difficulties with union contributors as well. She suggested that the Council consider referring the part of the ordinance regarding reporting requirements to the City Attorney for review.

On motion by L. DeLaney, seconded by B. Wainwright, to direct the City Attorney to review and comment on the additional reporting requirements as outlined in the proposed ordinance by the following vote: J. Kennedy, No; Rest, Ayes.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Schroder adjourned the Special Meeting at 10:15 p.m. to continue the Regular Meeting of March 15, 2006 with all members present.

#### CITY MANAGER

##### 9. Marina Agreement Progress Report (standing).

Community Development Director Richard Pearson reported that the agreement is largely done, but it is on hold due to one area still under negotiation. The agreement will be reviewed by the Marina Commission at their next meeting. Responding to a question from Vice Mayor DeLaney, Mr. Pearson indicated that when negotiations are completed, the Council will hold a public workshop at which time the Marina Commission and the public can discuss the entire lease.

Paul Wilson expressed concern about the length of time spent on negotiations, and how much landside improvements will be turned over to the marina managers. He warned that a public referendum could result if too much of the marina area is given away by the lease.

Julian Frazer noted that not allowing housing by the waterfront would include hotels or bed-and-breakfasts, and there would be safety issues also. He suggested that an RFP should be issued if a restaurant is being considered, rather than giving one person an inside track. He expressed concern about the time needed to get the boat restaurant operable once it is brought into the marina. He suggested a performance bond be required of the owner, and he mentioned other restaurant possibilities that should be encouraged as well.

Mike Alford commented on the Keyser-Marston report, expressing surprise that parts of the marina were designated as blighted. Councilmember Kennedy indicated that blight can also refer to economic issues, not just appearance. Mr. Alford said he was more concerned with the extent of the area designated, all the way to the ballfields. He also questioned whether the boat restaurant could use the ferry slip. He asked about plans for building in the marina area. The Council said they had no knowledge of any plans.

Mayor Schroder pointed out some of the issues related to the boat restaurant (such as sewage, utilities, etc.) that would make it impossible to use the ferry slip.

10. Comment(s)/Update(s)/Report(s).

None made.

#### APPOINTMENTS TO COMMISSIONS AND/OR AGENCIES

11. Consider and approve reappointments of Rob Schroder as Director and Mark Ross as Alternate to the Central Contra Costa Transit Authority for a two-year term.

Mayor Schroder commented on his role with the CCCTA in recent years, as well as Councilmember Ross.

Vice Mayor DeLaney asked for consideration of rotating some of the positions, rather than reappointing. Mayor Schroder commented on the knowledge he has gained during the time he has served as a director.

Mayor Schroder nominated himself, and the Council approved reappointment as Director to the CCCTA by the following vote: B.Wainwright, Abstaining; Rest, Ayes.

Mayor Schroder nominated Mark Ross, and the Council approved reappointment to the CCCTA as Alternate by the following vote: B.Wainwright, Abstaining; Rest, Ayes. [M.Cabral/6.7.1]

#### CITY COUNCIL

12. City Council Comments.

Councilmember Ross requested a presentation related to biking by Diane Dunlap at the next meeting.

Councilmember Wainwright requested to place on the next agenda whether the City Attorney can review his questions/suggestions regarding redevelopment. After brief discussion, the Council agreed it could be on the next agenda. He also announced that there will be a meeting of all interested parties at Armando's on Saturday, March 18<sup>th</sup> at 11:00 a.m. to discuss how the Redevelopment Agency should proceed. He gave a brief report on the California Preservation Foundation Workshop held last Saturday. He requested that a Historic Preservation Subcommittee be created and requested appointment to that Subcommittee. He also asked that

the redevelopment financial feasibility analysis be a standing item on the Council agenda and requested an update. Ms. Catalano discussed time and cost constraints, noting she was waiting to hear back from the consultant on possibilities. Councilmember Wainwright asked, and the Council agreed by consensus to direct staff to develop an ordinance that would provide for conditional use permits for alcohol-serving establishments.

Councilmember Kennedy requested clarification from the Deputy City Attorney regarding spending funds for redevelopment when a referendum is in place, which Ms. Nebb reviewed. She noted that City funds can be spent, but might not be reimbursable from a future redevelopment agency. In response to further questions from Councilmember Kennedy, Ms. Nebb said she would research and consult with the Keyser Marston to get answers. Councilmember Kennedy congratulated the exceptional people who were honored at the Chamber of Commerce Citizens of the Year awards, expressing regret that she was unable to attend.

Vice Mayor DeLaney updated the Council on the progress made on the District Attorney's Building, and she indicated that the County Building Ad Hoc Committee will be meeting again on April 5<sup>th</sup>. She also requested that the money that was previously allocated as a loan to be used for pier removal, be used instead for a BMX Park project, and asked that staff be directed to place the item on a future agenda. The Council agreed to add discussion of the issue of the money to a future agenda, although not for a BMX park alone. Vice Mayor DeLaney asked if Council could submit a letter to Contra Costa County asking that they review the property they own in the City and perhaps designate it as surplus so that the City could plan for its better use. She also requested an update on the issue of motor home parking on residential streets to be placed on a future agenda. Mr. Pearson discussed current City regulations, noting that the Planning Commission had considered changes, but was unable to reach consensus. Ms. Catalano indicated that a request from the Council could be sent to the Planning Commission.

Councilmember Wainwright requested the meeting adjourn in memory of Maryima MacPhee, discussing her contributions to the City.

Mayor Schroder stated that he received a letter from a Mr. Dornie Edge from England requesting information on a Mr. Lawrence A. Wize (past Martinez resident). He passed the letter to Councilmember Wainwright as a member of the Martinez Historical Society.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

Adjourned at **11:01 p.m.** *in memory of Maryima MacPhee*, to a Regular City Council Meeting on April 5, 2006, at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, 525 Henrietta Street, Martinez, California.

Approved by the City Council,

Rob Schroder, Mayor

Mercy G. Cabral, Deputy City Clerk – 4/5/06